ED 026 316 SP 002 165

By-Hite, Herbert; And Others

Appendix K. Trial Form of an Instrument for Evaluating Instructional Managers in the Practicum.

Northwest Regional Educational Lab., Portland, Oreg.

Spons Agency-Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C. Bureau of Research.

Bureau No-BR-8-9022

Pub Date Oct 68

Contract-OEC-0-8-089022(010)

Note-7p.; Appendix K in A Competency Based, Field Centered, Systems Approach to Elementary Teacher Education; Final Report. Vol. II, p248-254.

EDRS Price MF-\$0.25 HC-\$0.45

Descriptors-Behavioral Objectives, *Behavior Rating Scales, Educational Objectives, *Practicums, *Teacher Education, *Teacher Evaluation

Identifiers-*ComField Model Teacher Education Program

A two-part instrument for evaluating education students in the practicum has been developed. Part 1 is designed to assess the student teacher's definition of instructional objectives, while Part 2 focuses on his implementation of those objectives. The student teacher is given both performance and explication ratings. Performance level is evaluated through observation of the student teacher while he is engaged in actual teaching experiences. Explication is appraised during conferences in which the student teacher is asked to explain his teaching performance in a particular situation in relation to general performance criteria. (This document and SP 002 155-SP 002 180 comprise the appendixes for the ComField Model Teacher Education Program Specifications in SP 002 154.) (SG)



Herbert Hite Harry Garrison Lloyd Urdal

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR PGLICY.

Submitted for a Consortium of Institutions and Agencies by the Northwest Regional Educational Laboratory 400 Lindsay Building 710 S. W. Second Avenue Portland, Oregon 97204

TRIAL FORM OF AN INSTRUMENT FOR EVALUATING INSTRUCTIONAL MANAGERS IN THE PRACTICUM

Herbert Hite Harry Garrison Lloyd Urdal

The exemplar appraisal instrument is in two parts. Part 1 is devoted entirely to an assessment of the objectives that the instructional manager defines for a particular set of activities by her pupil. In assessing the objectives, the first criteria has to do with the technical performance of describing the objectives in behavioral terms. A second set of criteria define measures of the appropriateness of those objectives. parts to this assessment of objectives, then, are intended to provide a basis for assessing both the technical competence of the instructional manager as a changer of behavior, and also the valuing behavior of the instructional manager when they decide objectives in terms of content, learning outcomes and learner characteristics. Part 2 of the exemplar instrument deals with the effectiveness of the plans and performance of the instructional manager for that particular set of objectives. In other words, given the objectives, Part 2 asks how effective are the means of carrying out these objectives? The balance of the assessment instrument provides criteria for assessing both planning and interaction on the part of the instructional manager.

(Performance is appraised by observing plan and interaction. Explication is appraised during conference with IM who explains why a particular performance meets criteria for such periormances in general. Explication reflects ability to verbalize and generalize about specific teaching set.)

Total of Ratings			
	Comments and Notes		
Explica- tion Rating	Strong Adequate Weak		
	Comments and Notes		
Perfor- mance Rating	Weak Adequate Strong		
		1. DEFINES OBJECTIVES A. Meets Technical Requirements in that: 1. States objectives in behavioral terms 2. States performance standards for desired behaviors 3. Defines conditions under which behavior will be demonstrated (Objectives are additionally APPROPRIATE in terms of:) B. Conceptual Framework of a Content Area 4. Objective ive is consistent with current,	

Notes			
Comments			
9:073.0			
Adequate			-
Notes			
and			
I			•
omme			
ŭ 			
Strong			
Weak			
	authoritative description of concepts 5. Objective is clearly sequential with respect to other objectives	C. A particular class of Learning Outcomes 6. Objective(s) is a description of a particular level of behavior 7 is one of a set of objectives which samples both complex and simple levels of behavior 8 is one of a set which samples various domains of behavior and is affective as well as cognitive or psychomotor 9 is one of a set which samples both	
	Adequate Strong Meak Adequate Strong Adequate Strong	uthoritative description of concepts Objective is clearly equential with respect	Strong Set Set Set Set Set Set Set Se

Total of Rating								
	and Notes							
	and]							
	Comments							
Explica- tion Rating	Strong							
1100	Adequate		_					
Explication tion	Meak							
	tes		_					
	nd Notes							
	pu							
	Comments							
	သိ						·	
1 0 00	Strong			-				
erfor mance ating	Adequate							
Perfor- mance Rating	Meak		-	 -				
		divergent and convergent modes of thinking D. Characteristics of par-	ticular <u>Learners</u> 10. Objective is stated in alternative terms so that individual	pupils possess the necessary prerequisites for assigned tasks 11. Objective is	to perceived purposes of pupils	IMPLEMENTS OBJECTIVES	Given this objective(s), how adequate are the following? i.e., how appropriate are they to the stated objectives?	
				252			•	ţ

1									
1 of	8								
Total c	Kati								
		Notes							
		and No							
		Comments							
Ica-	1 8 18	Strong							
Explica	tion Rating	Meak Meak							
		Notes							
		N pue							
		Comments							
or-	ng ng	Adequate							
Perfor-	mance Rating	Meak							
	<u>н</u>		d d ted			- Seq	80 0	<u> </u>	<u>တ</u>
			ected stated	80	0 0 0 0	 arranged	- lyzi	nent	nan g
			tegies: ch are selected activities stat	rnir	ities are sequence human		pre- analyzing	ans for using pre- assessment instruments ans for using instru-	ments to assess changes
				lea	ities seque human	f the		ing fns Ing	0 0 0 0 0 0
			ategic Lch an activ	the	ctivi d in and	elements of environment	uatj ts 1 ent ts 1	usj ent usj	SI SI O
			itra whi nts	ses onme	, ac ined	lron	ns evaluat struments assessment struments changes	for sssm for	ά Ţ
			Plans Strategies Media which are Students activit in the plan	Organizes the learning environment	Media, activities planned in seque Physical and human	elen envi	Plans evaluation Instruments for assessment Instruments for changes	Plans for using pre- assessment instrument Plans for using instru-	ment
			A. PI M S	B. Or) 2 . p4		C. P1 I	д д	
					253				
		,							

Total of Rating		
	Comments and Notes	
Explica- tion Rating	Weak Strong Strong	
	Comments and Notes	·
Perfor- mance Rating	Weak Strong Strong	φ
		D. Interacts with pupils Elicits responses indicating understanding of task Elicits responses indicating acceptance of task Elicits responses which are overt, and frequent Adapts to responses by reinforcing appropriate responses extinguishing inappropriate responses Helps pupils summarize or generalize—anticipate next step Or Elicits pupil responses helps pupils anticipate next ster next ster Elicits pupils anticipate next ster consequence of responses helps pupils anticipate next ster inext ster next ster consequence of responses helps pupils anticipate next ster next ster inext